# Mathematical optimization: introduction and application to water management 

## water management?

- collect, treat, distribute, value water as a commodity
ex: design and operate wastewater networks under normal or extreme conditions
- mobilize water in processes as a resource with limited availability
ex: withdraw water for cooling or cleaning while preserving water source quality
- preserve a biotope, or deal with a natural hazard involving water
ex: adapt landscape to flood resilience


## decision \& management

## accuracy



Operational effective process

Tactical
system design


Strategic
long-term planning

## this class

- overview of prescriptive tools in decision support
- focus on mathematical optimization and discrete decision
- model and solve mathematical programs
- selected applications in water management

Y presciptivetools indecision suppopot

## decision support

## from WWII:

mathematical programming

## optimization

in the 2010s: Al, deep learning
in the 2000s:
business analytics, big data


## decide = optimize

Decision Making

identify possible alternatives, attach a quantitative score, search an alternative with the highest score

Optimization

model : describe the feasible solutions objective: a mapping from solutions to scores optimize : compute a feasible solution of maximum score
physical and virtual/numerical models
simulators: imperative "how"


## models


$\min \sum_{k=1}^{K} \sum_{j=1}^{n} d_{j k}$
s.t. $d_{j k} \geq \sum_{i=1}^{p}\left(m_{j}^{i}-y_{k}^{i}\right)^{2}-\bar{d}_{j k}\left(1-x_{j k}\right) \quad \forall j, k$
$\sum_{k=1}^{K} x_{j k}=1 \quad \forall j$

$$
x_{j k} \in\{0,1\}, y_{k}^{i} \in \mathbb{R}, d_{j k} \geq 0
$$


conceptual models formulation: declarative "what"

## models


created by experts maybe reinforced automatically from data (machine learning)

## 

## accuracy \& approximation

## Decision Making



Mathematical Optimization


## solve a model not a problem

Approximate minimization

- imprecise (truncated) and uncertain (forecast) data
- approximate dynamics and simplified (soften) constraints
- conceptual objective


## solve a model?


$x$

- solution may be infeasible or feasible within a tolerance gap
- solution may be sub-optimal or optimal within a tolerance gap
- solution may not be provably optimal, neither globally nor locally
- theoretic $=$ practical optimality guarantees: high complexity, slow convergence, limited time


## optimize

Model describes the system behavior
Simulation evaluates behavior and score for one given input decision
Optimization search the input decision leading to the highest score different problems, different needs $\longrightarrow$ many algorithms

```
operational research
```

different classes of algorithms:

- local/global, exact/heuristic
- deterministic/stochastic
- generic/specific

2 main principles:

- generate \& test
- divide \& conquer


## generate \& test: principle

black-box or numerical methods:

1. select a candidate decision
2. simulate/evaluate feasibility and score
3. stop or iterate

which candidates to evaluate?
search: partial, exhaustive, exhaustive but implicit
choice: random/probabilistic or directed by distance, score, highest-order information (e.g: derivative of the objective function)

## generate \& test: algorithms



## examples:

- local search: move to a neighbour candidate, the best one or in an improving direction
- may converge to a global optimum, e.g.: gradient descent in convex optimization, simplex algorithm in linear programming
- metaheuristics (evolutionary, swarm): combine candidates, use collective memory


## divide \& conquer: principle

## divide-and-conquer:

1. separate the search space (and refine the model)
2. estimate feasibility and best score in a simpler relaxed model
3. backtrack if not better, record if full solution, or iterate
relaxation/bounding (the maximal score):


- certificate of optimality: zero-gap between best upper nound (UB) estimated from the relaxations and best lower bound (LB) computed on full solutions
- rely on tight but simple relaxations


## divide \& conquer: algoithms

## examples:

- greedy heuristic: no backtrack
- graph algorithms, dynamic programming
- backtracking methods in logic/constraint programming
- branch-and-bound in combinatorial optimization



# one person's solution should not become another person's problem 



## mathematical optimization

## mathematical program

## $\min f(x): g(x) \leq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$

$f: \mathbb{R}^{n} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ objective
$g: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{m}$ constraints
$x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ variables / solution

## Pipe Sizing

## my first MP

Choose the diameter of two water distribution pipes
to maximize the total rate of flow, within a budget of 180 euros, given that:

- 1st pipe: maximum diameter $=40 \mathrm{~cm}$, cost=3 euros $/ \mathrm{cm}$, avg rate $=3 \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{cm}$
- 2nd pipe: maximum diameter $=60 \mathrm{~cm}$, cost=2 euros $/ \mathrm{cm}$, avg rate $=5 \mathrm{u} / \mathrm{cm}$
variables: diameters for the pipes (in cm) constraints: bounds and budget objective: maximize flow rate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \max 3 x_{1}+5 x_{2}: \\
& 0 \leq x_{1} \leq 40,0 \leq x_{2} \leq 60 \\
& 3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \leq 180
\end{aligned}
$$



## my first MP

$\max 3 x_{1}+5 x_{2}$ :
$0 \leq x_{1} \leq 40,0 \leq x_{2} \leq 60$ $3 x_{1}+2 x_{2} \leq 180$
variables: diameters for the pipes (in cm) constraints: bounds and budget objective: max flow rate

## linear case: graphical solution

- constraints define half-spaces in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$
- intersection = poyhedron = feasible solutions
- solutions of cost $p$ : point in line $3 x_{1}+5 x_{2}=p$
- optimal solution: corner on the highest line
$-x=(20,60) \operatorname{cost} p=3 * 20+5 * 60=360$



## mathematical program

## $\min f(x): g(x) \leq 0, x \in \mathbb{Z}^{p} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-p}$

well-solved classes:

$$
\begin{array}{rll}
f, g \text { linear } p=0 & \text { linear programming } \\
f \text { convex, } g \equiv 0, p=0 & \text { unconstrained optimization } \\
f, g \text { smooth convex } p=0 & \text { convex programming } \\
f, g \text { linear } p>1 & \text { mixed integer linear programming }
\end{array}
$$

## Mixed Integer Linear Program

 covers discrete decisions: off/on status $x \in\{0,1\}$, operation level $l \in\{0,1, \ldots, N\}$ covers logical relations: $l \leq N(1-x)$ level is 0 if status is on: $x=1 \Longrightarrow l=0$ covers nonlinear relations: $l=\sum_{i=0}^{N} i x_{i}, y=\sum_{i=0}^{N} f_{i} x_{i}, 1=\sum_{i=0}^{N} x_{i}, x_{i} \in\{0,1\} \forall i \in\{0, \ldots, N\}$$$
y=f(l) \text { a discrete function }
$$



setup

piecewise linear

## Groundwater abstraction (identical pumps)

Choose places to install pumps within a finite set $\boldsymbol{J}$ of candidates and minimize the global cost, given:

- installation cost $c_{j}$ and average flow rate $q_{j}$ of a pump at place $j \in J$
- limited total abstraction rate: lower $\underline{Q}$ and upper $\bar{Q}$ limits
- at most 3 pumps installed, no 2 pumps on places $a$ and $b \in J$ variables: $x_{j} \in\{0,1\}$ number of pumps installed at $j \in J$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \sum c_{j} x_{j}: \\
& \underline{Q} \leq \sum q_{j} x_{j} \leq \bar{Q}, \sum x_{j} \leq 3 \\
& x_{a}+x_{b} \leq 1, x \in\{0,1\}^{J}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Groundwater abstraction (distinct available pumps)

## Assign available pumps taken from a finite set $K$ :

- installation cost $c_{k}$ and flow rate $q_{k}$ now depends on pump $k \in K$
- limited abstraction $\underline{Q}, \bar{Q}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \min \sum_{k} \sum_{j} c_{k} x_{j k}: \\
& \underline{Q} \leq \sum_{k} \sum_{j} q_{k} x_{j k} \leq \bar{Q} \\
& \sum_{j} x_{j k} \leq 1 \quad \forall k \in K \\
& \sum_{k} x_{j k} \leq 1 \quad \forall j \in J \\
& x_{j k} \in\{0,1\} \quad \forall j \in J, k \in K
\end{aligned}
$$

variables: $x_{j k}=1$ lif pump $k \in K$ installed at place $j \in J$


## MILP algorithms

- based on the LP relaxation
cutting-plane algorithm - evaluate, refine, iterate branch-and-bound - separate (on discrete variables), estimate, backtrack/iterate branch-and-cut - refine then estimate



## declarative

## performance

equations, not algorithms

# versatile covers logic \& nonlinear 

## optimality <br> primal-dual bounds <br> MLP perks

flexible<br>general-purpose format \& solvers

## declarative

## performance

*still NP-hard: scale to some extent
sophisticated solvers (or consider LP)
equations, not algorithms
*good model ?

## versatile

 covers logic \& nonlinear*approximation
(or consider MINLP)

## large-scale decomposition methods

*algorithmic challenge

